

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/557,098	LURIYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thurman K. Page	1615	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thurman K. Page.

(2) EUGENE RZUCIDLO.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 December 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
OF RECORD

Claims discussed:  
OF RECORD

Prior art documents discussed:  
OF RECORD

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A review of the claims and prior art indicate that if the specific limitations of the dependent claims directed to the particular biologically active agents are inserted into the generic claims the prior art would be distinguished.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**THURMAN K. PAGE, J.D.**

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

*TK Page*  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)